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**MTI 508- Planning & Delivering Comprehension Mini-Lessons All Year Long**

This course is offered in conjunction with the Smekens Education Workshops: **Developing A Reading Road Map for Teaching Comprehension** and **Delivering Explicit & Engaging Comprehension Mini-Lessons.** Attendees will receive three (3) nationally accredited semester hours of graduate credit for completing **BOTH** workshops and the assignments listed in this syllabus.

**All work, course questions, and transcript information is to be directed to MTI.**

**\*\*DO NOT** **CONTACT\*\*** SMEKENS Educational Solutions or CCSJ for questions about this syllabus or the assignments. **Contact Nick Pezzuto at Midwest Teachers Institute,** **npezzuto@midwestteachersinstitute.org****.**

**Credits:** 3 Graduate Credits From Calumet College of St. Joseph, Whiting, Indiana

Calumet College of St. Joseph is an independent co-educational, liberal arts institution, fully accredited by the Higher Learning Commission, a member of the North Central Association.  CCSJ is accredited to offer master's, baccalaureate and associate's degrees, certificates, and diplomas by the **Higher Learning Commission**, a member of **North Central Association (NCA)** 30 N. LaSalle St., Chicago, IL 60602-2504, (800) 621-7440. **CCSJ is also accredited by** **CAEP** ([Council for the Accreditation of Educator Preparation](http://caepnet.org/)) formerly NCATE, **making them accepted in all 50 states.**

**Instructor: Kristina Smekens**

**Email: For questions about this syllabus and its assignments, email:**

**npezzuto@midwestteachersinstitute.org****.**

**Grades: K-12**

**Midwest Teachers Institute Contact Information:**

www.midwestteachersinstitute.org

[www.info@midwestteachersinstitute.org](http://www.info@midwestteachersinstitute.org)

**Phone: 1-866-854-2700**

**Fax: 1-888-831-0139**

**Credit Limits:**

All students taking graduate courses through Midwest Teachers Institute are limited to the following maximum number of classes:

 Fall Semester 9 credit hours

Spring Semester 9 credit hours

Summer Semester 12 credit hours

Total maximum for year 30 credit hours

**Requirements for Taking Courses through Midwest Teachers Institute**

All MTI courses are designed for educators who ***have at least a bachelor’s and two years of teaching experience.***  *Prior to beginning the course, check with your district office to ensure that credit will be accepted for salary increase, professional development, and /or state re-certification.*

**Formatting Requirements**

1. All assignments must be completed and returned in the same order as they appear in the course packet.
2. All work must be completed. Any work left incomplete will be returned and will not receive a grade.
3. All completed coursework **must be turned in via internet attachments**. Please name your attachment with your course number and your full name (ex. **599FLJohnDoe**) and submit it as a Microsoft Word document.
4. ***ONCE ALL ASSIGNMENTS ARE COMPLETED, SIMPLY EMAIL THEM TO BE GRADED AT***: grades@midwestteachersinstitute.org.

Once your work has been submitted, it will take **two weeks** for our assessment department to grade it. You will receive a **grade report by EMAIL** with instructions on how to order your transcript. Your first transcript **is FREE**.

**Course Description**

The purpose of this course is to reflect, evaluate, and implement the strategies learned during the daily sessions of each workshop. During these two workshops for K-12 teachers, Kristina Smekens shares best-practice instruction for teaching reading comprehension. You will leave with loads of strategies and lesson ideas that you can begin using in your own classroom right away.

By completing the assignments for this course, educators will gain a deeper understanding of comprehension, the strategies involved, all while earning three (3) nationally accredited semester hours of graduate credit from Calumet College of St. Joseph, Whiting Indiana.

**Compliance with National Board of Professional Teaching Standards (NBPTS)**

NBPTS standards represent the highest level of professional achievement in the continuum of teacher professional development. There are five core principles (standards), which cover five aspects of professional educational practice: (1) commitment to students and their learning, (2) knowledge of subject matter and instructional strategies, (3) management and monitoring of student learning, (4) systematic reflection about the teaching profession to learn and grow from experience, and (5) collaborative participation in the educational learning community.

**Course Objectives: These objectives align with all (5) NBPTS Core Propositions. At the end of the Literacy Workshop and the completion of the assignments, the educator will be able to:**

* Effectively introduce the concepts of inferential thinking, text annotation, close reading, and on-screen reading in kid-friendly ways.
* Weave on-screen and paper-based reading experiences into the curriculum.
* Focus classroom time on strategy/skill instruction, rather than passage/novel understanding.
* Pair appropriate texts with whole-class comprehension instruction.
* Identify assessments that truly measure students’ level of mastery of a particular comprehension skill/strategy.
* Execute comprehension mini-lessons that are short, effective, and powerful.
* Make reading lessons more visual through anchor charts, Think Alouds, hand signals, concrete triggers, and more.
* Deliver a multi-week study that acknowledges the developmental progression of comprehension strategies.
* Execute a yearlong plan that emphasizes spiraling through previously-taught skills, while encouraging students to juggle multiple strategies simultaneously.
* Implement Kristina’s favorite whole-class comprehension mini-lessons.
* Access the digital and video resources that support the whole-class comprehension lessons learned within the workshop.

**Grading**

The total grade will be determined by adding the points from the following 5 categories:

 Participation 15 points

 Daily Summary 80 points

 Lesson Plan 105 points

 Evaluation 100 points

 Total 300 points

The grading scale for the final grade is:

 100-90% A 300-269 total points

 89-80% B 268-239 total points

(Anything resulting in fewer than 239 points will not receive credit. Your work will be returned, and you will be allowed to correct and resubmit for a higher grade).

**Lesson-plan rubric:**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Category** | Superior (15-13 pts) | Sufficient (12-10 pts) | Minimal (9-7 pts) | Below Standard (6 pts) |
| **Objectives****\_\_\_\_/15** | Each objective is stated in terms of student behavior, identifies the learning that will occur, and is measurable and observable. At least 1 objective addresses higher order thinking skills. | Each objective is stated in terms of student behavior, identifies the learning that will occur, and is measurable and observable.  | Some objectives are stated in terms of student behavior. Some are clear and some are not. | Objectives are written in terms of teacher behavior. They are imprecise and unclear. |
| **Curriculum and Standards****\_\_\_\_/15** | The lesson plan provides clear and significant connections between the local curriculum and/or state standards. The target audience is clearly defined. | The lesson plan provides clear connections between the local curriculum and/or state standards. The target audience is defined. | The lesson plan provides some connection between the local curriculum and/or state standards. | There is no connection between the lesson plan and local curriculum or state standards. |
| **Pre-Activities****\_\_\_\_/15** | Pre-activities are relevant to the objective and are motivating, allowing students to bridge the gap between old and new learning.  | Pre-activities are relevant to the objective, but are not motivating and do not allow students to bridge the gap between old and new learning.  | Pre-activities are disconnected from the objective and distract the students from learning. | No pre-activities are present. |
| **Activities During the Lesson****\_\_\_\_/15** | All activities are aligned with the objective, build upon each other, and developmentally appropriate. The activities are engaging, creative, and innovative.  | All activities are aligned with the objective, build upon each other, and developmentally appropriate. | Activities are connected to the objective but disconnected from each other.  | No activities during the trip are present. |
| **Post-Activities****\_\_\_\_/15** | Closing activities are relevant to the objective and provide a clear opportunity to make a final check for student understanding. Students are active participants. | Closing activities are relevant to the objective and provide a clear opportunity to make a final check for student understanding, but are teacher-centered. | Closing activities are poorly developed and are all teacher-centered. | No post-activities are present. |
| **Materials and Resources****\_\_\_\_/15** | All necessary materials and resources are identified and provided.  | All necessary materials and resources are identified; most are provided. | Materials and resources are listed but not present. | Materials and resources are not listed or present. |
| **Methods for Measuring Student Achievement****\_\_\_\_/15** | 2 or more non-paper-and-pencil methods are used to measure student achievement. (Examples include presentations, demonstrations, experiments, portfolios) | 1 non-paper-and-pencil method is used to measure student achievement. | Assessment is limited to just paper-and-pencil response(s).  | No methods of assessment. |

**Evaluation rubric:**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Category** | Superior (20-17 pts) | Sufficient (16-13 pts) | Minimal (12-9 pts) | Below Standard (8 pts) |
| **Supporting Evidence in Practice****\_\_\_\_/20** | Response shows strong evidence of ideas and insights from this course and how they are applied to the classroom.  | Response shows evidence of ideas and insights from this course and how they are applied to the classroom. | Response shows some evidence of ideas and insights from this course and how they are applied to the classroom. | Response shows little evidence of ideas and insights from this course, and there is little evidence they are applied to the classroom. |
| **Accuracy****\_\_\_\_/20** | All supporting facts and statistics are accurately represented. | Almost all supporting facts and statistics are accurately represented. | Some of the supporting facts and statistics are accurately represented. | Many of the supporting facts and statistics are inaccurately represented. |
| **Grammar and Spelling****\_\_\_\_/20** | Response includes 0-1 mistakes in grammar or spelling.  | Response includes a few grammar and spelling mistakes. | Response includes several grammar and spelling mistakes. | Response includes numerous grammar and spelling mistakes. |
| **Logical Sequencing****\_\_\_\_/20** | Response is written in a clear, concise, and well-organized manner. Thoughts are presented in a coherent and logical manner. | Response is mostly clear, concise, and well-organized. Thoughts are presented in a coherent and logical manner. | Response is somewhat unclear and/or disorganized. Some thoughts are presented in a coherent and logical manner. | Response is mostly unclear and/or disorganized. Many thoughts are presented in an incoherent and illogical manner. |
| **Reflection****\_\_\_\_/20** | Response demonstrates an in-depth reflection on, and personalization of, the theories, concepts, and /or strategies presented in this course. | Response demonstrates some reflection on, and personalization of, the theories, concepts, and /or strategies presented in this course. | Response demonstrates a minimal reflection on, and personalization of, the theories, concepts, and /or strategies presented in this course. | Response demonstrates no reflection on, and personalization of, the theories, concepts, and /or strategies presented in this course. |

**PARTICIPATION**

You are expected to participate in all activities at the workshops for the duration. If you miss a day, you must contact MTI, or you will not receive the full points. (15pts total)

**DAILY SUMMARIES**

Choose ONE day of the workshop and briefly describe what you liked the most and how you will utilize this information in your classroom or school. Be sure to include specific examples of strategies gained from the seminar. Response should be two to three paragraphs. (80 points).

**LESSON PLAN**

Choose ONE of the SESSIONS and create a lesson plan that you would use for one of your classes. Be sure to include **all** the categories and information listed below, as well as any other information that may be pertinent to your lesson plan. Please consult the lesson-plan rubric for guidance on each category. (105 points)

**Goals/objectives for the lesson:**

**Connection to your curriculum:**

**District/state standards supported by the lesson:**

**Pre-activities:**

**Post-activities:**

**Instructional materials and resources used:**

**How you will assess student achievement:**

**EVALUATION/REFLECTION**

Choose one of the SESSIONS from the WORKSHOP and write a persuasive paper. Choose who the audience is for your paper, whether it be for the parents of your students, an administrator, or to your fellow teachers. The purpose is to convince them of the value of your information. Include the activities that you will be utilizing and how they can enhance your curriculum. Write 1-3 pages. (100 points)

**END OF COURSE SURVEY**

Now that you are finished with your class, please take the time to help us improve. In order to make sure that we are providing the best possible service, please go to:

<https://advancedformbuilder.com/form/43365414243>

Take the course survey, **which is required for you to receive your grade.** We at MTI appreciate and value your feedback.